CONTEXT PROFILE





FARMER Jan Geerken



INNOVATION Grazing with Angus cattle



MAIN DOMAIN OF THE INNOVATION Improvement of marketing



AGROCLIMATIC AREA Atlantic central



CLIMATE Moderate rainfall



SOIL TYPE Peat



MANAGEMENT Pasture beef



TECHNICAL











FINANCE/INVESTMENT Low

MARKET Local-urban

SOCIAL Full-time farmer



CONTEXT PROFILE GERMANY

Case Study: DE_04	Agroclimatic Zone						
Item (Key Innovation Elements)	Alpine	Atlantic Central	Atlantic North	Atlantic South	Boreal	Continental North	Continer South
Enough grasslands available for grass- fed animals, full diet from grass	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
Direct marketing of high-quality meat	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
Rotational grazing	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++



Generic information/not relevant



Funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or European Commission . Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.







 **.
 Funded by

 ...*
 the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or European Commission . Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.

Suggestions to Adapt

COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS

INVESTMENT COSTS

Total initial investment costs at start up:

- Initial authorisation costs (e.g. sanitary, veterinary, etc.)
- Initial advisory costs
- Initial buildings and machineries
- Initial certification costs
- Initial working capital (personal qualification, marketing and promotion, etc.)

ON-GOING COSTS

On-going advisory costs	low
On-going certification costs	low
On-going buildings and machinery costs	high
On-going working capital	high

BENEFITS RELATIVE TO ORIGINAL SYSTEM

• Economic

Reduction in energy consumption (electricity; fuel consumption)

Reduction in input use (fertilizers; pesticides; feed) etc.

Payback period

Product value added

Additional farm income through agroecological/agri-environmental payment schemes

• Environmental

Animal feed self-sufficiency increase

Biodiversity increase

Improved nitrogen cycling

Soil regeneration

Animal health and welfare improvement

• Social

Workload reduction

Engagement of young generation



Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or European Commission . Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.

mid	
mid	
low	
mid	
low	
high	

not applicable/not known

high

not applicable/not known

none or low

not applicable/not known

high

not applicable/not known

Literature

Dutch

• <u>www.duurzaamvleesnatuurlijk.nl</u> (website on sustainable meat production; in Dutch)

English

• Enthoven L., Van den Broeck G. (2021) Local food systems: Reviewing two decades of research. Agricultural Systems 193, 103226. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2021.103226

