

CONTEXT PROFILE





FARMER

Carlos Mira - Herdade da Carneira



INNOVATION

Improving the resilience of the Montado to drought and pests





MAIN DOMAIN OF THE INNOVATION

Improvement of grassland management



SOIL TYPE

Sand



AGROCLIMATIC AREA

Mediterranean south



MANAGEMENT

Pasture beef



TECHNICAL

Easy



FINANCE/INVESTMENT

Mid



MARKET

Local-rural



SOCIAL

Full-time farmer









Case Study: PT_03	Agroclimatic Zone								
Item (Key Innovation Elements)	Alpine	Atlantic Central	Atlantic North	Atlantic South	Boreal	Continental North	Continental South	Mediterranean North	Mediterranean South
Water basins to conserve water for irrigation	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
Production diversification	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
Density reduction of cork oaks for phytosanitary improvement	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	++	++
Sowing clover and grasses in meadows for quality improvement	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++













Implementation Gaps

- Water conservation will not be possible on every location
- The Montado system is only possible under specific climatic conditions

Research Gaps

- Efficiency of the practice for phytosanitary improvements
- Optimum management for the Montado under a changing climate

Suggestions to Adapt

None





COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS

INVESTMENT COSTS

Total initial investment costs at start up:	low
Initial authorisation costs (e.g. sanitary, veterinary, etc.)	not applicable/not known
Initial advisory costs	low
Initial buildings and machineries	low
Initial certification costs	not applicable/not known
Initial working capital (personal qualification, marketing and promotion, etc.)	low

ON-GOING COSTS

On-going advisory costs	not applicable/not known
On-going certification costs	not applicable/not known
On-going buildings and machinery costs	not applicable/not known
On-going working capital	not applicable/not known

BENEFITS RELATIVE TO ORIGINAL SYSTEM

Economic

Reduction in energy consumption (electricity; fuel consumption)	not applicable/not known
Reduction in input use (fertilizers; pesticides; feed) etc.	mid
Payback period	not applicable/not known
Product value added	mid
Additional farm income through agroecological/agri-environmental payment schemes	not applicable/not known

Environmental

Animal feed self-sufficiency increase	none or low
Biodiversity increase	high
Improved nitrogen cycling	mid
Soil regeneration	high
Animal health and welfare improvement	none or low

Social

Workload reduction	none or low
Engagement of young generation	none or low



Literature

National Language

- https://dspace.uevora.pt/rdpc/bitstream/10174/10116/1/Livro%20Verde%20dos%20Montados_Versao%20online%20%202013.pdf
- https://www.acos.pt/files/joana_amaral_paulo_alteracoes_climaticas_montado_cef_isa.pdf

English

- https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2020/651982/EPRS_BRI(2020)651982_EN.pdf [general document on Agroforestry in the European Union]
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-015-0534-1 (Cork oak pests: a review of insect damage and management)
- https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-020-64650-9 (Cork oak and climate change)