CONTEXT PROFILE

ROMANIA

FARMER
Vălean Ioan

INNOVATION
Efficient and Eco-friendly model

Video

MAIN DOMAIN OF THE INNOVATION
Workload reduction

AGROCLIMATIC AREA
Continental south

CLIMATE
Moderate rainfall

SOIL TYPE
Clay

MANAGEMENT
Pasture dairy

TECHNICAL
Easy

FINANCE/INVESTMENT
Low

MARKET
Local-rural

SOCIAL
full-time farmer

All contents of this document are expert opinions of the G4AE Scientific Technical Working Group

CONTEXT PROFILE

ROMANIA

Case Study: RO_03	Agroclimatic Zone								
Item (Key Innovation Elements)	**Alpine**	**Atlantic Central**	**Atlantic North**	**Atlantic South**	**Boreal**	**Continental North**	**Continental South**	**Mediterranean North**	**Mediterranean South**
Modern stable with drainage system	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++
Collection and distribution of manure	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++
Less demand for work with the stable	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++

+++ **Strong transferability**

++ **Slightly limited transferability**

\+ **Very limited transferability**

× **Generic information/not relevant**

Funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or European Commission . Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.

Implementation Gaps

- Some investment needed
- Required water and electricity
- Pit sizing to be calculated in relation with the number of animals
- Availability of labs to carry out manure analysis
- Adpat horse power tractor to the manure quantity

Research Gaps

- Implications of the manure distribution on soils
- Implications of manure distribution on soil biodiversity (weeds included)

Suggestions to Adapt

- Further improve the barn (cleaning systems; Feeding systems; integrated management systems)
- Planning the timing of manure spreading in relation with the national rules
- Establish the nutrient value of manure. Calculate the quantity of artificial manure that is replaced

Grazing AgroEcology

Funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or European Commission . Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.

COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS

INVESTMENT COSTS

Total initial investment costs at start up:	mid
• Initial authorisation costs (e.g. sanitary, veterinary, etc.)	mid
• Initial advisory costs	low
• Initial buildings and machineries	high
• Initial certification costs	mid
• Initial working capital (personal qualification, marketing and promotion, etc.)	not applicable/not known

ON-GOING COSTS

On-going advisory costs	not applicable/not known
On-going certification costs	not applicable/not known
On-going buildings and machinery costs	not applicable/not known
On-going working capital	not applicable/not known

BENEFITS RELATIVE TO ORIGINAL SYSTEM

- **Economic**

Reduction in energy consumption (electricity; fuel consumption)	not applicable/not known
Reduction in input use (fertilizers; pesticides; feed) etc.	none or low
Payback period	mid
Product value added	mid
Additional farm income through agroecological/agri-environmental payment schemes	not applicable/not known

- **Environmental**

Animal feed self-sufficiency increase	not applicable/not known
Biodiversity increase	not applicable/not known
Improved nitrogen cycling	not applicable/not known
Soil regeneration	high
Animal health and welfare improvement	not applicable/not known

- **Social**

Workload reduction	mid
Engagement of young generation	mid

Grazing AgroEcology
Funded by the European Union
Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or European Commission . Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.

Literature

English

- Julia Köninger, Emanuele Lugato, Panos Panagos, Mrinalini Kochupillai, Alberto Orgiazzi, Maria J.I. Briones, Manure management and soil biodiversity: Towards more sustainable food systems in the EU, Agricultural Systems, Volume 194, 2021, 103251, ISSN 0308-521X, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2021.103251
- Laura Zavattaro, Luca Bechini, Carlo Grignani, Frits K. van Evert, Janine Mallast, Heide Spiegel, Taru Sandén, Alicja Pecio, Juan Vicente Giráldez Cervera, Gema Guzmán, Karl Vanderlinden, Tommy D'Hose, Greet Ruysschaert, Hein F.M. ten Berge, Agronomic effects of bovine manure: A review of long-term European field experiments, European Journal of Agronomy, Volume 90, 2017, Pages 127-138, ISSN 1161-0301, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2017.07.010.

Grazing AgroEcology

Funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or European Commission . Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.