

# CONTEXT PROPERTY OF THE PROPER





### **FARMER**

Ann-Charlotte Wallenhammar & Lars Eric Anderson - Gräve Åkerby



# **INNOVATION**

Meeting challenges with lakeshore grazing





# MAIN DOMAIN OF THE INNOVATION

Improvement of grassland management



## **AGROCLIMATIC AREA**

Atlantic central



# **CLIMATE**

Moderate rainfall



# **SOIL TYPE**

Clay



# **MANAGEMENT**

Pasture beef



# **TECHNICAL**

Easy



# FINANCE/INVESTMENT

Mid



### **MARKET**

Local-urban



# SOCIAL

Part-time farmer





| Case Study: SE_12   | Agroclimatic Zone |                     |                   |                   |        |                      |                      |                        |                        |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Item (Key Innovation Elements)  | Alpine            | Atlantic<br>Central | Atlantic<br>North | Atlantic<br>South | Boreal | Continental<br>North | Continental<br>South | Mediterranean<br>North | Mediterranean<br>South |
| Availability of temporary grasslands in times in which the seminatural grassland is waterlogged                                       | ++                | +++                 | +++               | ++                | +++    | ++                   | ++                   | ++                     | ++                     |
| Grazing on semi-natural, seasonally flooded pastures  | ++                | +++                 | +++               | ++                | +++    | ++                   | ++                   | ++                     | ++                     |
| Farm strategies making able to cope with strong intra-annual variability of forage yields   | ++                | +++                 | +++               | ++                | +++    | ++                   | ++                   | ++                     | ++                     |
| Availability of agri-environmental payments to support profitability of farming under restricted/difficult agro-ecological conditions | ++                | +++                 | +++               | ++                | +++    | ++                   | ++                   | ++                     | ++                     |
| Complementary forage resources provided by grazing of the regrowths and the straw of timothy grass seed                               | ++                | +++                 | ++                | ++                | +++    | ++                   | ++                   | ++                     | ++                     |
| Need for ccertified organic meat<br>production to get adequate prices of<br>the product   |                   | +++                 | +++               | +++               | +++    | +++                  | +++                  | +++                    | +++                    |













# **Implementation Gaps**

- In a strict sense, a system based on lakeshore grazing 70 ha of pastures that stretch 4 km along a lake is almost impossible to replicate
- Unpredictability a variability of the water level, having a big impact on the pasture
- The requirements to be granted agrienvironmental payments are fairly strict
- High dependency on financial state support schemes: abandonment as a risk when schemes reduce or cease

# **Research Gaps**

- Flexible support systems are desired and through dialogue a better understanding is reached
- Explore possibilities to control alder with specific cattle breeds

# **Suggestions to Adapt**

- Focus on breeds which are able to cope with wet conditions (Angus, Highland cattle)
- Combine farming with tourism activities (agritourism)





# **COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS**

# **INVESTMENT COSTS**

| Total initial investment costs at start up:                                     | mid                      |
|---|--------------------------|
| Initial authorisation costs (e.g. sanitary, veterinary, etc.)                   | not applicable/not known |
| Initial advisory costs  | not applicable/not known |
| Initial buildings and machineries   | mid                      |
| Initial certification costs   | not applicable/not known |
| Initial working capital (personal qualification, marketing and promotion, etc.) | high                     |

# **ON-GOING COSTS**

| On-going advisory costs                | not applicable/not known |
|--|--------------------------|
| On-going certification costs           | not applicable/not known |
| On-going buildings and machinery costs | mid                      |
| On-going working capital               | mid                      |

### **BENEFITS RELATIVE TO ORIGINAL SYSTEM**

### Economic

| Reduction in energy consumption (electricity; fuel consumption)                  | not applicable/not known |
|--|--------------------------|
| Reduction in input use (fertilizers; pesticides; feed) etc.                      | not applicable/not known |
| Payback period   | not applicable/not known |
| Product value added  | high                     |
| Additional farm income through agroecological/agri-environmental payment schemes | not applicable/not known |

### Environmental

| Animal feed self-sufficiency increase | high |
|---------------------------------------|------|
| Biodiversity increase                 | high |
| Improved nitrogen cycling             | high |
| Soil regeneration                     | mid  |
| Animal health and welfare improvement | mid  |

# Social

| Workload reduction             | none or low              |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Engagement of young generation | not applicable/not known |



# Literature

# **English**

• <a href="https://www.agroscope.admin.ch/agroscope/en/home/topics/plant-production/forage-grassland-grazing-systems/grenzertragslagen-alpwirtschaft/grazing-green-alder.html">https://www.agroscope.admin.ch/agroscope/en/home/topics/plant-production/forage-grassland-grazing-systems/grenzertragslagen-alpwirtschaft/grazing-green-alder.html</a>